

REMARKS

Very thanks for Examination's suggestion and thanks for finding some citations about the present invention, thereby, the applicant may know more information about the invention. This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the office action.

Examiner has kindly provides reference prior arts about the present invention, and thus the applicant has more information about the invention. All details of the reference prior arts are fully considered and compared with the present invention.

Since in the office action, Examiner has allowed the claim 7, and thus applicant decides to argue for claims 1 to 6 and 8 kept alive, the applicant mentioned about the cited document in his related patents USP 6,129, 473 and 6,155,700 coincidentally. That is the reason why the applicant can ask for the examiner's reconsideration of the instant invention claims no interference with the cited USP 6,158,871.